Table	\mathbf{of}	Contents
-------	---------------	----------

1

2	9.0	Anin	nal Welfare Considerations (Refinement, Reduction, and Replacement)	2
3		9.1	Refinement, Reduction, and Replacement Considerations	2
4		9.2	Use of Animals in the BG1Luc ER TA	3
5				
6				

6 9.0 Animal Welfare Considerations (Refinement, Reduction, and

7 Replacement)

8 9.1 Refinement, Reduction, and Replacement Const	siderations
--	-------------

- 9 ICCVAM promotes the scientific validation and regulatory acceptance of new methods that refine,
- reduce, or replace animal use where scientifically feasible. Refinement, Reduction, and Replacement are
- 11 known as the three "Rs" of animal alternatives. These principles of humane treatment of laboratory
- 12 animals are described as:

14

- Refining experimental procedures such that animal suffering is minimized
 - Reducing animal use through improved science and experimental design
- Replacing animal models with non-animal procedures (e.g., *in vitro* technologies), where possible (Russell and Burch 1959)
- 17 There are currently three *in vivo* methods commonly used by regulators to assess the estrogenic potential
- of substances: rat uterotrophic, rat pubertal female, and fish short-term reproduction assay. In addition,
- 19 the "in vitro" Rat Uterine Cytosol ER binding assay also requires the use of animals as a source of ER.
- Although the BG1Luc ER TA will not directly replace any of these existing methods, it could be
- 21 incorporated as part of a weight of evidence approach to reduce or eliminate the need for testing in these
- animal models. There currently are no accepted validated *in vitro* test methods in use for the screening of
- both ER agonists and antagonists (ICCVAM 2002). As stated in Section 1.0, the EPA EDSP Tier 1
- 24 screening battery currently includes the CERI STTA agonist test method, *OPPTS 890.1300: Estrogen*
- 25 Receptor Transcriptional Activation (Human Cell Line (HeLa-9903)). The screening guideline also
- 26 makes provisions for the use of other scientifically valid methods. Therefore, the BG1Luc ER TA may be
- 27 applicable for addressing the ER TA component of the EPA EDSP Tier 1 screening battery. Used in this
- context, the BG1Luc ER TA provides an opportunity to reduce animal use in ED testing by identifying
- substances that may enhance and/or inhibit the activation of the ER.
- The BG1Luc4E2 ER TA method is being proposed as an independent part of a weight-of-evidence
- 31 approach to prioritize potentially endocrine active substances for further testing. Therefore, like the CERI
- 32 STTA, the test does not directly refine or replace animal use. However, there are currently three *in vivo*
- methods commonly used by regulators to assess the estrogenic potential of substances: rat uterotrophic,
- rat pubertal female, and fish short-term reproduction assay. In addition, the "in vitro" Rat Uterine Cytosol
- ER binding assay also requires the use of animals as a source of ER. Results from the BG1Luc ER TA
- were examined for concordance with published reports of ER binding. There was 97% (33/34)
- concordance between the BG1Luc ER TA and ER binding data from the literature (see Section 5.6). In

- Do Not Cite, Quote, or Distribute
- 38 light of the excellent degree of agreement between ER binding and BG1Luc ER TA (with no false
- 39 negative results), it appears that evaluating results from BG1Luc ER TA agonist and antagonist testing
- 40 may provide a viable alternative to conducting ER binding studies, which use animals as a source of ER.
- This cannot currently be accomplished with the only accepted ER TA method due to the inability of the
- 42 CERI STTA method to assess ER antagonist activity.
- Results from the BG1Luc ER TA were examined for concordance with published data from the
- 44 uterotrophic assay (see Section 5.7). Based on a comparison with the *in vivo* uterotrophic assay
- 45 classification, the 13 substances with data from the uterotrophic assay and conclusive test results in the
- 46 BG1Luc ER TA agonist test method produced overall concordance of 92% (12/13). All substances found
- positive in the uterotrophic assay were also positive in the BG1Luc ER TA method. The only discordant
- 48 substance, butylbenzyl phthalate was positive for ER agonist activity in the BG1Luc ER TA agonist test
- method and negative in the uterotrophic assay. These data indicate that the BG1Luc ER TA agonist test
- method has very good agreement with the *in vivo* results obtained with the uterotrophic assay, with no
- false negative results.
- 52 Although the BG1Luc ER TA will not directly replace any of these existing methods, it could be
- 53 incorporated as part of a weight of evidence approach to reduce or eliminate the need for testing in these
- animal models.

55 9.2 Use of Animals in the BG1Luc ER TA

- The BG1Luc ER TA test method utilizes cultured human ovary adenocarcinoma cells that endogenously
- express human ER and contains an estrogen-inducible gene expression system. Except for the fetal bovine
- sera used as part of the cell culture media, the test method does not require the use of animals.
- 59 ICCVAM. 2002. Background Review Document. Current Status of Test Methods for Detecting
- 60 Endocrine Disruptors: In Vitro Androgen Receptor Transcriptional Activation Assays. National Institute
- of Environmental Health Sciences. Available:
- 62 http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/endo docs/final1002/arta brd/ARTA034507.pdf
- Russell WMS, Burch RL. 1959. The Principles of Humane Experimental Technique. London: Methuen &
- 64 Co. Ltd. [Reissued: 1992, Universities Federation for Animal Welfare, Herts England.].

66

65

67